UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/506,654 | 09/03/2004 | Mark N. Horenstein | BU-097XX | 4807 | |
| 207 WEINGADTE | 7590 07/17/200 N SCHIIDGIN GAGN | EXAMINER | | | |
| WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109 | | | TRAN, TAN N | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2826 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/17/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| de |
|----|
| |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| | 10/506,654 | HORENSTEIN, MARK N. | |
| ı | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | TAN N. TRAN | 2826 | |

| · | TAN N. TRAN | 2826 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | |
| ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 26(a) and the appropria | to automaian faa | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | 3 | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 16-31</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14,15. | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | • | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | s necessary and | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a 1). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended portion in claims 1,16 raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

EVAN PERT PRIMARY EXAMINED